

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,442	02/06/2004	Stephen Naylor	BG9-007	3617
30407	7590 04/07/2005		EXAMINER	
	& DEWEY, LLP		YU, MEL	ANIE J
161 WORCES	STER ROAD			
P.O. BOX 9320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
FRAMINGHAM, MA 01701-9320			1641	

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/773,442	NAYLOR ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Melanie Yu	1641			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Fe	ebruary 2005.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-18 and 20-22 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 19 and 23-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	e withdrawn from consideration.				
 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 November 2004</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex 	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	nte			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/773,442 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of group III, claims 19 and 23-30, in the reply filed on 24 February 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-18 and 20-22 have been withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 19 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The recitation of the component "chromatography disk" is vague and indefinite because it is unclear what a chromatography disk encompasses. It is unclear if this component is a cylindrical chromatography column or if it even has the same function as a chromatography column.

Regarding claims 23-30 it is unclear whether the third, fourth, fifth and sixth proteins removed from the sample can be the same protein selected from the recited group or if the third, fourth, fifth and sixth proteins must be different from each other.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1641

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 19 and 23-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Pieper et al. (US 2002/0127739).

Pieper et al. teach a device for substantially removing a protein from a sample comprising, in serial fluidic communication, a chromatography column (par. 0012, 0020, 0038) and a chromatography disk (a chromatography disk is considered the same as a cylindrical chromatography column and matrices are within the chromatographic columns; par. 0070).

Regarding claims 23-30, Pieper et al. teach a device for depleting a sample of at least three proteins comprising: a first chromatography column (separate matrices are used for separate receptors, par. 0075; each matrix defines a chromatography column, par. 0096; first chromatography column, par. 0078) functionalized to substantially remove albumin from the sample (goal is to remove most abundant proteins, par. 0083; therefore the first matrix, chromatography column, would remove the most abundant protein, albumin, Table 1); a second chromatography column in serial fluidic communication with the first chromatography column (if matrices carry different binding agents, the matrices are in fluidic communication, par. 0070) and functionalized to substantially remove immunoglobulin G from the sample (according to par. 0083, the second matrix would remove the second most abundant protein, Immunoglobulin G, Table 1); and a first chromatography disk in serial fluidic communication with the second chromatography column (a chromatography disk is considered the same as a cylindrical chromatography column and matrices are within the chromatographic columns; par. 0070; fluidic

Art Unit: 1641

communication, par. 0070) and functionalized to substantially remove a third protein from the sample (matrices comprise binding agents for most abundant proteins, par. 0083), wherein a third protein is transferrin (third most abundant protein is transferrin, Table 1). Pieper et al. further teach the device comprising a second, third and fourth chromatography disk (removes up to 12 serum proteins of Table 1, par. 0014; can remove between 5 and 10 proteins, which would require 6 chromatography columns, and encompasses the recited 2 chromatography columns and 4 chromatography disks which are interpreted as circular columns, par. 0084), which respectively remove a fourth, fifth, and sixth protein from the sample (proteins are separated by removing the most abundant, par. 0083, and between 5 and 10 proteins can be depleted, which would encompass removing 6 proteins, par. 0084), wherein the proteins are haptoglobin, alphalantitrypsin and alpha-2-macroglobulin, respectively (the fourth through sixth most abundant proteins are haptoglobulin, alpha-1-antitrypsin and alpha-2- macroglobulin, Table 1).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 19 and 23-28 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 and 7-12 of copending

Art Unit: 1641

Application No. 09/977,358. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the solid phase matrices of claims 1-4 of application '358 are porous according to claim 7 and are affinity columns according to claims 8-12. Therefore, the solid phase matrices can be chromatography columns or disks, which are interpreted as circular chromatography columns, as recited in the instant claims 19 and 23-28. Application '358 teaches, in serial fluidic communication, a first and chromatography column for removing ligands from a sample (claim 1) and a first chromatography disk (claim 2). It would be obvious to remove albumin, immunoglobulin G and transferrin proteins from samples of plasma as the first, second and third ligands because the ligands are taught as proteins in claim 5 of application '358. Application '358 further teaches a second and third chromatography disk for removing a fourth and fifth from the sample (claims 3 and 4). It would have been obvious for the fourth and fifth proteins to be alpha-2- macroglobulin or alpha-1-antitrypsin because these are proteins found in plasma, and the ligands are recited as proteins (claim 5).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,442

Art Unit: 1641

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Melanie Yu

Patent Examiner

Melaniey

Art Unit 1641

LONG V. LE

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

03/31/05